

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,362		11/26/2003	Bo-Lennart Johansson	PU9951	6183	
22840	7590	12/05/2005		EXAMINER		
AMERSH/	AM BIO	SCIENCES	THERKORN, ERNEST G			
PATENT D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PISCATAW			1723			
				DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					c				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/723,362		JOHANSSON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ernest G. Th		1723					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will e	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim  xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this con 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•						
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 200	<u>5</u> .						
'=	•	action is nor							
3)[_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{15-21}$ is/are pending in the application	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
-	Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election rea	uirement						
V)	are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	ullernerit.						
Applicati	on Papers				•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		annilei. Note	the attached Office	Action of form PTC	J-10Z.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been i s have been i rity document	received. received in Applications s have been receive	on No	stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	·								
Attachment	• •		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pa		152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/723,362

**Art Unit: 1723** 

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) in view of either Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) or Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598). At best, the claims differ from Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) in reciting use of a spacer. Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) (column 1, lines 34-40) discloses that a spacer increases the distance between the matrix and the ligand to counteract steric interference. Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) (column 6, lines 9-34) discloses spacers between amino groups functioning as ligands and a carrier material yield unexpected results with a higher yield, more reproducible result, more specific adsorption, and a purer product. It would have been obvious to use a spacer in Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) either because Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) (column 1, lines 34-40) discloses that a spacer increases the distance between the matrix and the ligand to counteract steric interference or because Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) (column 6, lines 9-34) discloses spacers between amino groups functioning as ligands and a carrier material

Art Unit: 1723

yield unexpected results with a higher yield, more reproducible result, more specific adsorption, and a purer product.

The remarks urge that examining the additional two inventions would not be a serious burden on the examiner. However, the additional searching and different issues of patentability would be an enormous burden on the examiner. Accordingly, the restriction requirement has been reconsidered, deemed proper, and made final for the reasons of record.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that the claims include numerous compounds that attach the aromatic ring to the quarternized nitrogen other than Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149)'s methylene. However, claim 15's "linear hydrocarbon group" reads on Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149)'s methylene.

The remarks urge that Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) is not directed to ion exchangers and protein separation. However, a fair reading of Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) on column 2, lines 41-49 combined with column 5, lines 48-59 would indicate that Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) discloses ion exchangers. Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598)'s column 6, lines 18-26 use of a relatively long spacer is disclosed to lead to a more specific adsorption of proteins producing a higher purity protein product.

The remarks urge that there is no motivation to use a spacer in Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149). Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) (column 1, lines 34-40) discloses that a spacer increases the distance between the matrix and the ligand to counteract steric interference. Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) (column 6, lines 9-

Art Unit: 1723

34) discloses spacers between amino groups functioning as ligands and a carrier material yield unexpected results with a higher yield, more reproducible result, more specific adsorption, and a purer product. Motivation exists to use a spacer in Meiller (U.S. Patent No. 4,100,149) either because Biebricher (U.S. Patent No. 4,177,038) (column 1, lines 34-40) discloses that a spacer increases the distance between the matrix and the ligand to counteract steric interference or because Riethorst (U.S. Patent No. 4,883,598) (column 6, lines 9-34) discloses spacers between amino groups functioning as ligands and a carrier material yield unexpected results with a higher yield, more reproducible result, more specific adsorption, and a purer product.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,362

Art Unit: 1723

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT November 29, 2005